

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

eev

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.             | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/562,336                  | 12/23/2005                              | Makoto Ikeda         | KNJ-214-A           | 3612             |
| 21828<br>CARRIER BLA        | 7590 08/30/2007<br>ACKMAN AND ASSOC     | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 24101 NOVI ROAD             |   |                      | WARD, JOHN A        |                  |
| SUITE 100<br>NOVI, MI 48375 |   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                             | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ,                    | 2885                |                  |
|                             |   | •                    |                     |                  |
|                             |   |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                             |   |                      | 08/30/2007          | ELECTRONIC       |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cbalaw@gmail.com cbalaw@ameritech.net wblackman@ameritech.net

| ·   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/562,336  | IKEDA ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | John A. Ward  | 2885   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address -   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  | V 10 057 70 5VDIDE - NOVITU   | (O) OD TUUDTY (OO) DAYO  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONI | N. mely filed  n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 E   | December 2005.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under I  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 53 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  | wn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | or alastian requirement   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) displayed to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documen   | ts have been received.  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price  |   | ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   | •  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summar   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 122305.</li> </ul>  | Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |

# DETAILED ACTION

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark (US 5,255,171).

Regarding claim 1, Clark ('171) discloses a colored light source providing intensification of initial source illumination having a light guide in figure 5 having an end face, an emitting face illuminating by means of light emitting diode 22, 24, 26, figure 5 shows how the light is reflected in a internal face of the light guide, the light guide in figure 5 has a sectional shape thereof in a direction orthogonal to the longitudinal direction of the light guide having two parabolas curves and a line segment corresponding to the emitting face.

Regarding claim 2, figures 3a and 5 shows how the side face 34 of the light guide on a side emitting face is substantially parallel to the optical axis

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/562,336

Art Unit: 2885

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clark ('171) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Fischer et al (US 6,939,009).

Regarding claims 3-5, Clark discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited above in claims 1 and 2, but does not disclose the housing or a lens array for converging on a light-receiving element.

It is known that light concentrators are used for providing a means of concentrating light to an object i.e. documents or graphical images.

Regarding claim 3, Fischer ('009) discloses a compact work light with high illumination uniformity having a lens array 14a-c, illuminating a plurality of illuminating units 202 are illuminated toward a document (column 5, lines 56-66), and a box 11 housing the illuminating unit and lens array.

Regarding claim 4, figure 7 shows how a plurality of illumination units 202 arranged as to cause lights emitted from the emitting faces of the light guides to irradiate the same area of a face of the document be illuminated.

Regarding claim 5, figure 7 shows the illumination units on the end face of the light guide.

Regarding claim 6, figure 7 shows at least two light sources to make up the illumination unit.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the concentrator of Clark with the compact work light of Fischer et al in order to provide a light concentrator which is used to supply concentrated light of different color at different times to enhance an image as taught by Clark (column 2, line 23-33).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jong (James) Lee can be reached on 571-272-7044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2885

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JAW August 21, 2007

> DAN ANTHONY WARD PRIMARY EXAMINER